UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,238	10/13/2003	Eamon Patrick Gleeson	141841	3017

45364 7590 05/09/2011

JOHN S. BEULICK (17851)
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
7700 Forsyth Boulevard
Suite 1800
St. Louis, MO 63105

EXAMINER	
LANIER, BENJAMIN E	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2432	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/09/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,238	GLEESON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2432	

All Participants:

(1) *BENJAMIN E. LANIER*.

(2) *Kevin K. Jones (Reg. No. 56,809)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *3 May 2011*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Benjamin E Lanier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained to Mr. Jones that the current amendments (filed 26 April 2011) represent new matter that would be rejected under 112, first paragraph. Specifically, the Examiner explained that there was nothing in the specification to suggest that once a subscriber had received a data transmision from the data source, that that data transmission could be made unusable to the subscriber. Mr. Jones suggested that they will consider the Examiner's comments and provide either citations of support for the claim amendments, or a supplemental amendment removing the amended content in an effort to avoid a 112, first paragraph rejection..